

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,137	OHNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elvis O. Price	1621	

All Participants:

 (1) Elvis O. Price.

 (2) Keiko K. Takagi.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 June 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12-14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon further analysis of claims 12-14, the Examiner determined that the product-by-process claims (claims 12-14) were not patentable. The Examiner suggested cancellation of claims 12-14 so as to place the application in condition for allowance and Ms Takagi authorized the cancellation of claims 12-14.